# Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

***Economic Analysis of Social Issues* (Grant)**
**Chapter 2 Cost–Benefit Analysis and the Value of a Life**

2.1 Cost–Benefit Analysis

1) The primary method that decision makers use to evaluate choices among competing alternatives is called
A) the competitive forces model.
B) cost–benefit analysis.
C) heads-or-tails analysis.
D) absolute advantage.
Answer: B
Diff: Easy

2) Cost-benefit analysis is a tool that is used
A) only by businesses.
B) only by individuals and businesses.
C) only by governments.
D) by individuals, businesses, and governments.
Answer: D
Diff: Easy

3) You are trying to decide if you should take a day off from work to go to a casino. In making your decision, you compare what you would sacrifice to go to the casino (lost wages for the day, potential losses at the casino, the amount of work you will have to make up, etc.) with the enjoyment you would receive from the day off (relaxation, potential winnings, etc.). The analysis you have just conducted is called
A) cost–benefit analysis.
B) comparative advantage analysis.
C) stress analysis.
D) sacrificial-wage analysis.
Answer: A
Diff: Easy

4) You are trying to decide if you should take a vacation. Your cost–benefit analysis indicates that the benefits outweigh the costs. You should
A) take a vacation.
B) not take a vacation.
C) take a vacation only if you have enough cash saved up to pay for it up front.
D) More information is needed to make this decision.
Answer: A
Diff: Easy

Created exclusively for Jonathan Mutyera <jonathanm@nipissinngu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

5) Claudia's Copy Shop is deciding which 3-D printer to purchase, and it only has room for one printer. It can purchase the "Mini-Me" small-object printer, which would generate benefits of $50,000 at a cost of $5,000, or it can purchase the "Gargantuan" large-object printer which would generate benefits of $250,000 at a cost of $25,000. Based on these numbers, Claudia's Copy Shop should purchase
A) the "Mini-Me" printer.
B) the "Gargantuan" printer.
C) either printer, since the cost of each is exactly 10 percent of the benefit from each.
D) neither printer, since the cost of each is too high for a 3-D printer.
Answer: B
Diff: Moderate

6) Gerald is deciding what kind of light bulbs to install in the light fixtures in his new home. He has it narrowed down to compact fluorescent bulbs (CFLs) or LED bulbs. The CFLs will cost $350 and the LEDs will cost $900. Gerald estimates that the energy-saving benefits from the CFLs will be $750 and the energy-saving benefits from the LEDs will be $1,250. Based on these numbers, Gerald should purchase the
A) CFLs because the cost is cheaper.
B) CFLs because the net benefit is greater.
C) LEDs because the benefit is greater.
D) LEDs because the net benefit is greater.
Answer: B
Diff: Moderate

7) Marginal cost refers to the _____ cost incurred when choosing a particular action.
A) total
B) net
C) implicit
D) additional
Answer: D
Diff: Easy

8) The additional benefit incurred when undertaking an activity is the _____ benefit.
A) total
B) gross
C) marginal
D) explicit
Answer: C
Diff: Easy

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muterera <jonathanm@mssinngu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

9) Bruno makes tamales to sell at the local farmer's market, and each month he sells all he makes. Each month, tamales had been selling for $10 per dozen and Bruno made 80 dozen tamales at a total cost of $500. It costs Bruno $100 to rent a booth at the farmer's market. This month, as Bruno is getting ready to pay management the $100 booth rental fee and display his tamales, he discovers that he will need to cut his price to $5 per dozen to compete with other tamale sellers. Bruno should
A) not pay the booth rental fee and not set up his booth because he has already spent $500 to make his tamales and will only receive $400 by selling them.
B) only set up his booth if he does not have to pay the booth rental fee because then he will at least break even.
C) go ahead and pay the fee and set up his booth because the marginal benefit of selling his tamales is $400 and the marginal cost is $100.
D) not set up his booth this month because the marginal benefit of selling his tamales is $400 and the marginal cost is $600.
Answer: C
Diff: Hard

10) The cost–benefit analysis on the financial impact smokers have on society conducted by Vanderbilt professor Kip Viscusi concludes that
A) smokers provide a net public service to society.
B) smokers are a financial drain on society.
C) smokers contribute less to retirement and pension programs than they receive from those programs.
D) smokers account for about 32 cents of net cost to everyone else in society for every pack of cigarettes smoked.
Answer: A
Diff: Easy

11) _____ should be considered when conducting a cost–benefit analysis.
A) Total costs and total benefits
B) Only marginal costs and marginal benefits
C) Only implicit costs and implicit benefits
D) All costs and all benefits
Answer: B
Diff: Easy

12) When conducting cost–benefit analysis, it is important to ignore previously incurred costs and previously received benefits.
Answer: TRUE
Diff: Moderate

13) Arriving at a decision by comparing total costs and total benefits is called marginal analysis.
Answer: FALSE
Diff: Easy

created exclusively for Jonathan Mutereka <jonathanm@nipissingu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

14) An activity should be undertaken if the marginal benefit of the activity is greater than the marginal cost of the activity.
Answer: TRUE
Diff: Easy

15) The additional costs you expect to incur if you undertake an activity and the additional benefits you expect to receive if you undertake an activity are called _____ costs and benefits
Answer: marginal
Diff: Easy

16) Lucinda is deciding whether to enroll in an art school or a culinary school. The art school will cost $38,000 and the culinary school will cost $52,000. Lucinda estimates that the benefits from attending the art school will be $75,000 and the benefits from attending the culinary school will be $88,000. Based on these numbers, in which school should Lucinda enroll?
Answer: The net benefit from attending the art school is $75,000 - $38,000 = $37,000. The net benefit from attending the culinary school is $88,000 - $52,000 = $36,000. So, even though the total benefit is greater from attending the culinary school, the net benefit is greater from attending the art school, so she should attend the art school.
Diff: Hard

17) Salvador grows orchids to sell to local florists. When Salvador began raising his current crop of 1,000 orchids, he could sell them for $20 per plant, and he incurred shipping costs of $3 per plant. His cost of raising orchids is $8 per plant. When his crop was ready to ship, florists were only paying $9 per plant. Use marginal analysis to determine Salvador's best course of action given the drop in the price of orchids.
Answer: When Salvador began raising his current crop of orchids, he expected to earn revenue of $20,000 and incur costs of $8,000 for raising the plants and an additional $3,000 for shipping, leaving him with a net (marginal) benefit of $9,000. With the drop in price, his revenue will be $9,000 and his costs will be $11,000, leaving him with a loss of $2,000. Although it may seem as though the best option for Salvador is to not sell his orchids at this lower price, the $8,000 cost of raising the orchids has already been incurred, so if he chooses to not sell the orchids, he will lose $8,000. If he chooses to sell his orchids, his net loss will only be $2,000. So by selling the orchids, Salvador's marginal benefit is $9,000 and his marginal cost is the $3,000 shipping expense. His best course of action is to sell the orchids, even at the lower price.
Diff: Hard

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muterera <jonathanm@mipissingu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

2.2 Applying Cost–Benefit Analysis to Life

1) In the case of the Ford Pinto, Ford decided that
A) it would be cheaper to pay damages to families involved in crashes than to fix the problems with the Pinto.
B) it would be more expensive to pay damages to families involved in crashes than to fix the problems with the Pinto.
C) the problems with the Pinto could not be easily or cheaply fixed.
D) it would not be held liable for damages caused by the Pinto and therefore had no reason to fix them.
Answer: A
Diff: Easy

2) _____ regularly trade their own gain against others' lives.
A) Corporations
B) Governments
C) Individual people
D) All of the above
Answer: D
Diff: Easy

3) There are _____ between the risks individuals expose one another to and the risks corporations expose their customers to.
A) major differences
B) no fundamental differences
C) only financial differences
D) significant, but relatively minor differences
Answer: B
Diff: Easy

4) Which of the following activities exposes others to risk?
A) driving
B) smoking
C) playing golf
D) all of the above
Answer: D
Diff: Easy

5) A person's _____ indicate her willingness to expose others to risk and that she is doing it for _____.
A) actions; her own benefit
B) actions; society's benefit
C) finances; her own benefit
D) finances; society's benefit
Answer: A
Diff: Easy

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muterera <jonathanm@nipissinngu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

6) It is impossible to incorporate the value of human life into implicit and explicit cost–benefit analyses.
Answer: FALSE
Diff: Easy

7) It is impossible for corporations to avoid trading their own gain against others' lives.
Answer: TRUE
Diff: Easy

8) In terms of exposing others to risk, explain if there is a difference between you choosing to text while driving and a company selling tablet computers where the batteries have been known to explode when the tablet is left on for a long period of time.
Answer: In each case, the party exposing others to risk is doing so for their own gain—you for convenience and the tablet manufacturer for profit. If benefits exceed costs in both cases, there is no fundamental difference. If costs exceed benefits in one case and not the other, there is a difference.
Diff: Moderate

2.3 Using Cost–Benefit Analysis to Determine an Appropriate Level of Safety

1) After researching the effectiveness of child safety seats in reducing the accident death rate of children ages one to four, economist Steven Levitt found that
A) for children under age four, safety seats are safer than any alternative.
B) for children under age two, safety seats are safer than any alternative, but beyond age two, they provide no appreciable benefit over standard car seat belts.
C) safety seats are actually more dangerous than standard car seat belts for children of any age.
D) safety seats provide no appreciable benefit over standard car seat belts for children of any age.
Answer: B
Diff: Easy

2) The Eyes Wide Open Company is considering producing binoculars that can be used to directly view the sun during a solar eclipse, but it knows that some customers could potentially go blind when using the product. The Eyes Wide Open Company
A) should definitely not produce these binoculars.
B) has no way to include the value of the potential damage to eyesight in its cost–benefit analysis.
C) has little choice but to include the value of the potential damage to eyesight in its cost–benefit analysis.
D) must redesign the binoculars so there is absolutely no possibility of damaged eyesight to customers.
Answer: C
Diff: Moderate

Created exclusively for Jonathan Nunez <jonathanm@mpsinc.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

3) According to cost–benefit analysis, where safety is concerned
A) it is possible to not be safe enough.
B) it is possible to be too safe.
C) it is possible to be just safe enough.
D) All of the above are possible.
Answer: D
Diff: Easy

4) A cost-justified precaution is a safety measure whose
A) benefits outweigh its costs.
B) costs outweigh its benefits.
C) costs equal its benefits.
D) costs are zero.
Answer: A
Diff: Easy

5) A chain saw manufacturer can make its chain saws safer by adding a titanium chain guard to its products. Adding the titanium chain guard costs $35 per chain saw. The manufacturer estimates that this will prevent 10 injuries for every 5,000 chain saws sold and that, on average, each injury causes $15,000 of harm. The injury cost per chain saw is
A) $3.00.
B) $30.00.
C) $37.50.
D) $40.00.
Answer: B
Diff: Hard

6) A chain saw manufacturer can make its chain saws safer by adding a titanium chain guard to its products. Adding the titanium chain guard costs $35 per chain saw. The manufacturer estimates that this will prevent 10 injuries for every 5,000 chain saws sold and that, on average, each injury causes $15,000 of harm. The manufacturer
A) should add the chain guard because it is cost justified.
B) should add the chain guard even though it is not cost justified.
C) should not add the chain guard because it is not cost justified.
D) should not add the chain guard because this will reduce profits.
Answer: C
Diff: Hard

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muterera <jmuterera@nipissinngu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

7) A handgun manufacturer can make its handguns safer by adding a fingerprint recognition device to its products, so only the owner would be able to fire the gun. Adding the fingerprint recognition device costs $145 per handgun. The manufacturer estimates that this will prevent 150 injuries for every 100,000 handguns sold and that, on average, each injury causes $120,000 of harm. The injury cost per handgun is
A) $145.
B) $180.00.
C) $460.
D) $800.
Answer: B
Diff: Hard

8) A handgun manufacturer can make its handguns safer by adding a fingerprint recognition device to its products, so only the owner would be able to fire the gun. Adding the fingerprint recognition device costs $145 per handgun. The manufacturer estimates that this will prevent 150 injuries for every 100,000 handguns sold and that, on average, each injury causes $120,000 of harm. The manufacturer
A) should add the fingerprint recognition device because it is cost justified.
B) should add the fingerprint recognition device even though it is not cost justified.
C) should not add the fingerprint recognition device because it is not cost justified.
D) should not add the fingerprint recognition device because this will reduce profits.
Answer: A
Diff: Hard

9) The benevolent social planner would like to see people take
A) all possible precautions.
B) all cost-justified precautions.
C) only cost-justified precautions.
D) all possible cost-justified precautions, but no precautions that are not cost-justified.
Answer: D
Diff: Easy

10) Suppose that the local health board discovers some unsanitary conditions at your favorite sushi restaurant. An economist would likely have what to say about this situation?
A) "All unsanitary conditions at this restaurant must be eliminated."
B) "The restaurant owner obviously cares more about profits than about his customers."
C) "No one should eat at this restaurant due to the potential health hazard."
D) "Eliminating all of the unsanitary conditions might cost more than the value of the benefits that would be gained."
Answer: D
Diff: Moderate

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muterera <jonathanm@nipissinngu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

11) With respect to the Ford Pinto, comparing the cost of the modification to the fuel tank with the lives the modification would save was the best way Ford could save the greatest number of lives at the lowest possible cost.
Answer: TRUE
Diff: Moderate

12) If a company could spend $3 per bicycle on a safety device that would prevent $2,000 worth of harm for every 1,000 bicycles sold, spending the $3 would be a cost-justified precaution.
Answer: FALSE
Diff: Moderate

13) Companies are never justified in not installing all possible safety devices in their products when the omission of those safety devices could result in the loss of life to the users of the products.
Answer: FALSE
Diff: Moderate

14) A safety measure whose benefits outweigh its costs is called a _____.
Answer: cost-justified precaution
Diff: Easy

15) A manufacturer of industrial paper shredders can make its machines safer by adding a laser-sensored kill switch to its products. Adding the kill switch costs $17 per machine. The manufacturer estimates that this will prevent 50 injuries for every 30,000 paper shredders sold and that, on average, each injury causes $5,500 of harm. What is the injury cost per paper shredder? Explain whether the manufacturer should or should not add the kill switch to the paper shredder.
Answer: The injury cost per paper shredder is 50 × ($5,500 / 30,000) = $9.17. Since the kill switch costs $17 per machine, which is more than the injury cost per paper shredder, the manufacturer should not add the kill switch because to do so would not be cost justified.
Diff: Hard

16) Commercial airlines have two primary suppliers of large jet aircraft: Boeing and Airbus. Since millions of people fly with commercial airlines each year, should these aircraft manufacturers be required to incorporate every possible safety feature into the aircraft they manufacture? Should the manufacturers be allowed to incorporate no safety features? If some safety considerations are to be required, how would an economist decide on which features to include and which not to include?
Answer: Some safety measures would save so few lives that requiring them to be installed would not be cost-justified. Some safety measures are highly effective and save lives at relatively low costs, so installing them would be cost justified. An economist would choose to install those features that are cost justified and not install those that are not.
Diff: Moderate

Created exclusively for Jonathan Rivera <jonathanm@nipissingu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

2.4 Problems and Pitfalls of Cost–Benefit Analysis When Life is Involved

1) If the risk associated with a particular outcome is _____, or the value of a particular outcome is _____, then cost–benefit analysis might lead people to take precautions that are not cost-justified.
A) overestimated, overestimated
B) overestimated; underestimated
C) underestimated; overestimated
D) underestimated; underestimated
Answer: B
Diff: Moderate

2) If the risk associated with a particular outcome is _____, or the value of a particular outcome is _____, then cost–benefit analysis might lead people to indulge in too much risk.
A) overestimated, overestimated
B) overestimated; underestimated
C) underestimated; overestimated
D) underestimated; underestimated
Answer: C
Diff: Moderate

3) If the risk associated with a particular outcome is _____, or the value of a particular outcome is _____, then cost–benefit analysis might lead people to accept too little risk.
A) overestimated, overestimated
B) overestimated; underestimated
C) underestimated; overestimated
D) underestimated; underestimated
Answer: B
Diff: Moderate

4) If the risk associated with a particular outcome is _____, or the value of a particular outcome is _____, then cost–benefit analysis might lead people to take too few precautions.
A) overestimated, overestimated
B) overestimated; underestimated
C) underestimated; overestimated
D) underestimated; underestimated
Answer: C
Diff: Moderate

5) When it comes to unlikely but potentially deadly events, individuals
A) are very good at estimating risks.
B) are not very good at estimating risks.
C) are better than large corporations at estimating risks.
D) do not even attempt to estimate risks.
Answer: B
Diff: Easy

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muteera <jonathanm@mpsinngu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

6) Uni-Go Company makes motorized unicycles. Uni-Go is deciding whether to include a safety feature that would cost $6 for each unicycle. Uni-Go estimates the probability of death without the safety feature is 1/90,000 and the death cost per unicycle is $5.55. What is the value Uni-Go has placed on a life?
A) $162,162.
B) 499,500.
C) $540,000.
D) $2,997,000.
Answer: B
Diff: Hard

7) Uni-Go Company makes motorized unicycles. Uni-Go is deciding whether to include a safety feature that would cost $6 for each unicycle. Uni-Go estimates the probability of death without the safety feature is 1/90,000 and the death cost per unicycle is $5.55. Uni-Go's cost–benefit recommendation is to
A) add the safety device.
B) not add the safety device.
C) add the safety device plus additional safety devices.
D) not produce the unicycle.
Answer: B
Diff: Moderate

8) Uni-Go Company makes motorized unicycles. Uni-Go is deciding whether to include a safety feature that would cost $6 for each unicycle. Uni-Go estimates the probability of death without the safety feature is 1/90,000 and the death cost per unicycle is $5.55. If Uni-Go has underestimated the probability of death and the true probability of death is 1/80,000, then the true death cost per unicycle is
A) $2.03.
B) $5.55.
C) $6.24.
D) $6.75.
Answer: C
Diff: Hard

9) Uni-Go Company makes motorized unicycles. Uni-Go is deciding whether to include a safety feature that would cost $6 for each unicycle. Uni-Go estimates the probability of death without the safety feature is 1/90,000 and the death cost per unicycle is $5.55. If Uni-Go has underestimated the probability of death and the true probability of death is 1/80,000, then the true cost–benefit recommendation would be for Uni-Go to
A) add the safety device.
B) add the safety device and all other safety devices available.
C) not add the safety device.
D) not add the safety device nor any other safety devices.
Answer: A
Diff: Hard

Created exclusively for Jonathan Mutevera <jonathanm@nipissingu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

10) Uni-Go Company makes motorized unicycles. Uni-Go is deciding whether to include a safety feature that would cost $6 for each unicycle. Uni-Go estimates the probability of death without the safety feature is 1/90,000 and the death cost per unicycle is $5.55. If Uni-Go has underestimated by one-half the true value of a life, what is the true death cost per unicycle?
A) $3.00
B) $2.78
C) $11.10
D) $12.00
Answer: C
Diff: Moderate

11) Uni-Go Company makes motorized unicycles. Uni-Go is deciding whether to include a safety feature that would cost $6 for each unicycle. Uni-Go estimates the probability of death without the safety feature is 1/90,000 and the death cost per unicycle is $5.55. If Uni-Go has underestimated by one-half the true value of a life, then the true cost–benefit recommendation would be for Uni-Go to
A) add the safety device.
B) add the safety device and all other safety devices available.
C) not add the safety device.
D) not add the safety device nor any other safety devices.
Answer: A
Diff: Hard

12) According to the U.S. National Safety Council, a person has a better chance of being killed in which of the following situations?
A) in an aircraft accident
B) from being electrocuted
C) while riding a bicycle
D) while walking
Answer: D
Diff: Easy

13) If a conscientious manufacturer underestimates the probability of his product killing someone, the manufacturer will likely take precautions that are not cost justified.
Answer: FALSE
Diff: Moderate

14) Human beings are generally very good at accurately estimating probabilities.
Answer: FALSE
Diff: Easy

15) If a conscientious manufacturer underestimates the true value of life, the manufacturer will likely fail to take all cost-justified precautions.
Answer: TRUE
Diff: Moderate

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muterera <jonathanm@mmsinngu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

16) A manufacturer of wood chippers estimates that the probability of a fatal accident caused by the design of its product is 1/40,000 and the value of a life lost is $2 million. The manufacturer can change the design to eliminate that chance for $60 per wood chipper and is prepared to incorporate all cost-justified precautions. Will the manufacturer change the design? What would the benevolent social planner think about the manufacturer's decision if the true probability of a fatal accident is actually 1/30,000?
Answer: The manufacturer's estimated death cost per wood chipper is: $2,000,000 × (1/40,000) = $50.
The cost of changing the design is $60 per wood chipper.
Since the estimated death cost is less than the cost of changing the design, the manufacturer will not change the design.

The true death cost per wood chipper is: $2,000,000 × (1/30,000) = $66.67.
The cost of changing the design is $60 per wood chipper.
Since the true death cost is greater than the cost of changing the design, the benevolent social planner would advise the manufacturer to change the design.
Diff: Hard

17) A manufacturer of grenade launchers estimates that the probability of a fatal accident caused by the design of its product is 1/80,000 and the value of a life lost is $2 million. The manufacturer can change the design to eliminate that chance for $20 per grenade launcher and is prepared to incorporate all cost-justified precautions. Will the manufacturer change the design? What would the benevolent social planner think about the manufacturer's decision if the true value of a life is actually $1.5 million?
Answer: The manufacturer's estimated death cost per grenade launcher is: $2,000,000 × (1/80,000) = $25.
The cost of changing the design is $20 per grenade launcher.
Since the estimated death cost is more than the cost of changing the design, the manufacturer will change the design.

The true death cost per grenade launcher is: $1,500,000 × (1/80,000) = $18.75.
The cost of changing the design is $20 per grenade launcher.
Since the true death cost is less than the cost of changing the design, the benevolent social planner would advise the manufacturer not to change the design.
Diff: Hard

Created exclusively for Jonathan Mutereh <jonathanm@nipissingu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

18) The Who-Needs-A-Doctor? Company makes a do-it-yourself rhinoplasty kit. The company is deciding whether to include a safety feature that would cost $40 for each kit. The company estimates the probability of death without the safety feature is 1/10,000 and the death cost per kit is $50. Based on this information, answer the following questions:

a. What is the value the company has placed on a life?
b. What is the company's cost–benefit recommendation?
c. If the company has overestimated the probability of death and the true probability of death is 1/15,000, what is the true death cost per rhinoplasty kit?
d. If the company has overestimated the probability of death and the true probability of death is 1/15,000, what would the true cost–benefit recommendation be for the company?
e. If the company has correctly estimated the probability of death but has underestimated by one-half the true value of a life, what is the true death cost per rhinoplasty kit?
f. If the company has correctly estimated the probability of death but has underestimated by one-half the true value of a life, what would the true cost–benefit recommendation be for the company?

Answer:

a. The value placed on life is: [50 / (1/10,000)] = $500,000.
b. Since the safety feature costs $40 per kit, and the death cost per kit is $50, the company should include the safety feature.
c. The true death cost per kit is: $500,000 × (1/15,000) = $33.33.
d. Since the safety feature costs $40 per kit, and the true death cost per kit is $33.33, the company should not include the safety feature.
e. The true value of a life is now $500,000 × 2 = $1,000,000., so the true death cost per kit would be $1,000,000 × (1/10,000) = $100.
f. Since the safety feature costs $40 per kit, and the actual death cost per kit is $100, the company should include the safety feature.

Diff: Hard

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muteera <jonathanm@mmsinmgu.co>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

2.5 Approaches to Valuing Life

1) Attempting to answer the question of how much a life is worth is a job undertaken by
A) macroeconomists.
B) forensic economists.
C) economic theorists.
D) environmental economists.
Answer: B
Diff: Easy

2) Estimating the value of an injured or deceased person's life by calculating what he or she would have earned over the remainder of his or her life is called the
A) value of a statistical life approach.
B) death-and-taxes approach.
C) lost-income approach.
D) earnings-bracket approach.
Answer: C
Diff: Easy

3) Based on the lost-income approach, which of the following 30-year-old males would most likely be worth the most in terms of the value of his life?
A) Devin, a high-school dropout who works as a barista.
B) Antonio, a college graduate who is on permanent disability due to severe diabetes.
C) Timothy, a licensed electrician with two years of college.
D) Jamal, a CPA with a master's degree in accounting.
Answer: D
Diff: Moderate

4) Courts generally use the _____ when determining the value of human life in wrongful death suits.
A) value of a statistical life approach
B) actuarial approach
C) lost-income approach
D) sentimental approach
Answer: C
Diff: Easy

5) The extra pay people earn in exchange for undertaking risky or otherwise undesirable work is called
A) a compensating differential.
B) arbitrage income.
C) overtime pay.
D) a fringe benefit.
Answer: A
Diff: Easy

Created exclusively for Jonathan Mutereka <jonathanm@nipissingu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

6) A method of valuing a life that estimates how much money it takes to get the typical person to bear an additional risk of death is called the
A) lost-income approach.
B) value of a statistical life approach
C) daredevil approach.
D) price-is-right approach.
Answer: B
Diff: Easy

7) Using the value of a statistical life approach, the value of a life is calculated as
A) the compensating differential multiplied by the increased chance of death.
B) the sum of the compensating differential and the increased chance of death.
C) the compensating differential divided by the increased chance of death.
D) the difference between the compensating differential and the increased chance of death.
Answer: C
Diff: Moderate

8) Dahlia can earn $60,000 a year working at a relatively safe job, or $65,000 a year working at a riskier job. The probability of death from working at the relatively safe job is 1/5,000, and the probability of death from working at the riskier job is 1/1,000. Using the value of a statistical life approach and the above information, what is the value of Dahlia's life?
A) $2 million
B) $2.5 million
C) $6.25 million
D) $25 million
Answer: C
Diff: Hard

9) Erik can work as a forest ranger, where the probability of being killed in a work-related accident is 1/6,000, or he can earn an additional $800 a year by working as a game warden, where the probability of being killed in a work-related accident is 3/6,000. Using the value of a statistical life approach and the above information, what is the value of Erik's life?
A) $1.6 million
B) $2.4 million
C) $3.2 million
D) $4.8 million
Answer: B
Diff: Hard

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muterera <jonathanm@nipissinngu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

10) Maria can work as a coal miner, where the probability of being killed in a work-related accident is 5/8,000, or she can earn work as a truck driver, where the probability of being killed in a work-related accident is 2/8,000. Using the value of a statistical life approach, the value of Maria's life is $4 million. How much more per year will working as a coal miner pay than working as a truck driver?
A) $1,500
B) $2,000
C) $2,500
D) $3,500
Answer: A
Diff: Hard

11) Darnell can work on an oil rig, where the probability of being killed in a work-related accident is 4/6,000, or he can earn work as a lumberjack, where the probability of being killed in a work-related accident is 1/6,000. Using the value of a statistical life approach, the value of Darnell's life is $6 million. How much more per year will working on an oil rig pay than working as a lumberjack?
A) $2,000
B) $3,000
C) $4,000
D) $6,000
Answer: B
Diff: Hard

12) In wrongful death lawsuits, courts typically take into consideration the victim's character and personality when assessing damages.
Answer: FALSE
Diff: Easy

13) All else equal, people with risky jobs tend to make more money than people with safe jobs.
Answer: TRUE
Diff: Easy

14) Most studies using the value of a statistical life approach have estimated the value of a life to be between $3 million and $7 million.
Answer: TRUE
Diff: Easy

15) The lost-income approach tends to value a life at a higher amount than does the value of a statistical life approach.
Answer: FALSE
Diff: Easy

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muterera <jonathanmuterera@nipissinngu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant

16) Javier can earn $80,000 a year working as a firefighter, or $78,000 a year working as a police officer. The probability of death from working as a firefighter is 1/2,000, and the probability of death from working as a police officer is 1/4,000. Using the value of a statistical life approach and the above information, what is the value of Javier's life?
Answer: The value of life = ($80,000 - $78,000) / [(1/ 2,000) - (1/4,000)] = $2,000 / [(2/4,000) - (1/4,000)] = $2,000 / (1/4,000) = $8 million.
Diff: Hard

17) Isabel can work as a rodeo clown, where the probability of being killed in a work-related accident is 5/10,000, or she can earn work as a sword swallower, where the probability of being killed in a work-related accident is 3/10,000. Using the value of a statistical life approach, the value of Isabel's life is $5 million. How much more per year will working as a rodeo clown pay than working as a sword swallower?
Answer: Additional pay = $5 million [(5/10,000) - (3/10,000)] = $5 million × (2/10,000) = $1,000.
Diff: Hard

Created exclusively for Jonathan Muterera <jonathanm@nipissingu.ca>


Copyright © 2021 FlatWorld

Access Complete Test Bank for Economic Analysis of Social Issues 2nd Grant